




DCUSA Change Report		At what stage is this document in the process?
<h2>DCP 290:</h2> <h3>Housekeeping Change to Update PCDM Model References</h3> <p><i>Date Raised: 17 January 2017</i></p> <p><i>Proposer Name: Peter Waymont</i></p> <p><i>Company Name: Eastern Power Networks</i></p> <p><i>Company Category: DNO</i></p>		01 – Change Proposal
		02 – Consultation
		03 – Change Report
		04 – Change Declaration
<p><b>Purpose of Change Proposal:</b></p> <p>The intent of this Change Proposal is to facilitate the updating of the title, model number and issue date of the PCDM as referenced in Schedules 16, 17 and 18, which was not correctly applied in the legal text of DCP 234.</p>		
	<p>This document is issued in accordance with Clause 11.20 of the DCUSA, and details DCP 290 – Housekeeping change to Update PCDM model references.</p> <p>Parties are invited to consider the proposed amendment (Attachments 1 &amp; 2) and submit their votes using the Voting form (Attachment 3) to <a href="mailto:dcusa@electralink.co.uk">dcusa@electralink.co.uk</a> by <b>10 March 2017</b>.</p> <p>The voting process for the proposed variation and the timetable of the progression of the Change Proposal (CP) through the DCUSA Change Control Process is set out in this document.</p> <p>If you have any questions about this paper or the DCUSA Change Process, please contact the DCUSA by email to <a href="mailto:dcusa@electralink.co.uk">dcusa@electralink.co.uk</a> or telephone 020 7432 3011.</p>	
	<p>Parties Impacted: DNOs and IDNOs</p>	
	<p>Impacted Clauses: Schedule 16 Clause 1, Schedules 17 and 18 Clause 1.3.</p>	

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Executive Summary</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Governance</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Why Change?</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Solution</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Relevant Objectives</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Impacts &amp; Other Considerations</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>Implementation</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>8</b>	<b>Legal Text</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>9</b>	<b>Recommendations</b>	<b>5</b>



Any questions?

Contact:

**Code Administrator**



**DCUSA@electra  
link.co.uk**



**02074323000**

Proposer:

**Peter Waymont**



**peter.waymont@ukp  
owernetworks.co.uk**



**07875 112757**

## Timetable

The timetable for the progression of the CP is as follows:

### Change Proposal timetable

Activity	Date
Initial Assessment Report Approved by Panel	18 January 2017
Change Report Approved by Panel	15 February 2017
Change Report issued for Voting	17 February 2017
Party Voting Closes	10 March 2017
Change Declaration Issued to Parties	14 March 2017
Implementation	01 April 2018

## 1 Executive Summary

### What?

- 1.1 The Distribution Connection and Use of System Agreement (DCUSA) is a multi-party contract between electricity Distributors and electricity Suppliers and large Generators. Parties to the DCUSA can raise Change Proposals (CPs) to amend the Agreement with the consent of other Parties and (where applicable) the Authority.

### Why?

- 1.2 References to the Price Control Disaggregation Model (PCDM) in the Charging Methodologies need to be changed. These necessary changes were not identified as part of DCP 234.

### How?

- 1.3 This will be achieved by updating the references to the model, model number and issue date.

## 2 Governance

### Justification for Part 2 Matter

- 2.1 DCP 290 has been classed as a Part 2 Matter therefore, Authority consent is not required as it is a housekeeping change to correct references to the model.

### Requested Next Steps

- 2.2 The Panel considered that the Proposer has carried out the level of analysis required to enable Parties to understand the impact of the proposed amendment and to vote on DCP 290.
- 2.3 The DCUSA Panel recommends that this CP:
- Be treated as a Part 2 Matter
  - Be treated as a Standard Change
  - Proceed to Change Report

## 3 Why Change?

### Background of DCP 290

- 3.1 In August 2016 the Authority approved DCP 234 - *'Merging the PCDM and extended PCDM'* which replaced the PCDM and extended PCDM models with one model and created a new schedule in DCUSA which contains the legal text that relates to IDNO charging that is currently contained in Schedules 16, 17 and 18.

- 3.2 DCP 234 did not include the provision to update the references to the PCDM in the Charging Methodologies. DCP 290 seeks to facilitate the updating of the title, model number and issue date of the PCDM as referenced in Schedules 16, 17 and 18.

## 4 Solution

### DCP 290 Assessment

- 4.1 The DCUSA Panel recommended that the CP be progressed to the Change Report Phase. As a Housekeeping change to update references as result of a Change Proposal that is currently awaiting implementation on 01 April 2018 following a Working Group (DCP 234), this change is not considered to require a Working Group.

### DCP 290 Impact Assessment

- 4.2 It is not considered that DCP 290 will have an impact as this is a housekeeping change.

## 5 Relevant Objectives

### Assessment Against the DCUSA Objectives

- 5.1 For a DCUSA Change Proposal to be approved it must be demonstrated that it better meets the DCUSA Objectives. There are five General DCUSA Objectives and five Charging Objectives. The full list of objectives is documented in the CP form provided as Attachment 1.
- 5.2 The Proposer considers that the following DCUSA Objectives are better facilitated by DCP 290.

#### Impact of the Change Proposal on the Relevant Objectives:

Relevant Objective	Identified impact
1. The promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of the DCUSA	Positive

- 5.3 General Objective One is better facilitated by DCP 290 because although this change impacts Schedules 16,17 and 18, it is not a change to the methodologies but is merely a housekeeping change to correct references to the model.

## 6 Impacts & Other Considerations

### Does this Change Proposal impact a Significant Code Review (SCR) or other significant industry change projects, if so, how?

- 6.1 This Change Proposal does not impact a Significant Code Review or other significant industry change project.

### Consumer Impacts

- 6.2 The Proposer did not identify any material impact on consumers from the implementation of this CP.

### Environmental Impacts

- 6.3 In accordance with DCUSA Clause 11.14.6, the Proposer assessed whether there would be a material impact on greenhouse gas emissions if DCP 290 were implemented. The Proposer did not identify any material impact on greenhouse gas emissions from the implementation of this CP.

## 7 Implementation

- 7.1 To align with DCP 234, the proposed implementation date for DCP 290 is 1 April 2018.

## 8 Legal Text

- 8.1 The legal text for DCP 290 is provided as Attachment 2.
- 8.2 The legal text sets out the required updates to the model number and issue date of the PCDM as referenced in Schedules 16, 17 and 18.

## 9 Recommendations

### Panel's Recommendation

- 9.1 The Panel approved this Change Report on the 15 February 2017. The Panel considered that a sufficient level of analysis had been carried out to enable Parties to understand the impact of the proposed amendment and to vote on DCP 290.

### Requested Next Steps

- 9.2 The DCUSA Panel recommends that this CP:
- Be issued to Parties for Voting and DCUSA Parties should consider whether they wish to submit views regarding this Change Proposal.

## Attachments

- Attachment 1 – DCP 290 Change Proposal Form
- Attachment 2 – DCP 290 Legal Text
- Attachment 3 – DCUSA Voting Form